

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,025	12/22/2004	Marina B Jensen	HOI-12402/16	1353
25006 7590 11/28/2007 GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE,ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C PO BOX 7021			EXAMINER	
			POPOVICS, ROBERT J	
TROY, MI 480	007-7021		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1797	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/519,025	JENSEN, MARINA B			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert J. Popovics	1797			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 🏻	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/28	3/07.				
		s action is non-final.				
=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 52-76 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖾	Claim(s) 52-76 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •			

Application/Control Number: 10/519,025

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 52-63, drawn to a METHOD for Transferring Substances between the Layers of a Fluid or Gas of Interest

Group II claim(s) 64-76, drawn to a DEVICE or Transferring Substances between the Layers of a Fluid or Gas.

The inventions listed as Groups I & II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they both contain following the technical feature:

ii. at least one receiving layer comprising fluid or gas to which receiving layer or from which receiving layer the substances are transferred,

wherein said fluid or gas of interest within said at least one convective layer is running in a direction parallel to said at least one receiving layer, and wherein fluid or gas in said at least one receiving layers is either:

[[0]] a. stagnant, or

[[0]] b. running in another direction, and/or running with a different speed, when compared to the fluid or gas in the convective layer,

and wherein said substances are transferred to or from said at least one receiving layer without said receiving layers being percolated by said fluid or gas of interest of the convective layer.

which is not allowable as evidenced by US 5,772,900. Accordingly, lack of unity exists.

Application/Control Number:

10/519,025 Art Unit: 1797

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention. This is in addition to the previously made Election of Species requirement. That Election of Species requirement still stands.

Comments on the IPER

Applicant is encouraged to comment on the references applied in the IPER and point out how the instant claims define over that art, or point out where in the record such comments can be found, in order to advance the prosecution of this application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert

J. Popovics at telephone number (571) 272-1164

Robert James Popovics Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

- T